

Brian Daugherty, (summary of a letter to Sergeant Mark Brearley)

Summary of your phone call to me

You : “You referred to XX as a ‘bastard’

This is factually incorrect. Whatever offence I have committed it is to do with a written document, and nowhere in any of these documents do I refer to anyone as a bastard. You announced right at the beginning how sloppy your statements are going to be

Brearley : You sent letters to his family

This is true – I did request help from his father who is a police officer. But it was never made clear why this is against the law

(As far as I am concerned all these documents were just bona fide attempts to initiate civil action with a view to getting rid of a violent stalker)

When I said I feared this had an effect on my ability to get a job, you seemed to think that was an appropriate punishment

But if you think this way, that increase your obligation to tell me what it is that I have done to break the law

When I brought his history of mental illness, requiring a time in an appropriate institution,

you made some bland remarks about some people do recover, Except that after his ‘stay’ he tweeted proudly that he had been diagnosed as a psychopath. A proper police force would tell me whether it is true or not that he had been diagnosed as a psychopath, and if the latter would have given me some information whether they could assist me in any way

psychopath terrorist see below

To address my problem more directly, I referred to my first letter (a one-liner) with a view to first dealing with that, then progressing to the second one, and so on. So I asked : “why is it against the law to write a letter saying -‘Can you please bear witness to the continual door slamming’

You said : “Have you heard what I have just said”

Since this was a non-answer, I asked the same questions again,

to which you said “ Have you heard what I just said”

I then asked how you can dissuade me from doing it again if you telling me what I have done in the first place ?

You said : Why would you do it again?

You then said : If he causes problems in the future, we will investigate

But you did next to nothing in the past – why would you act differently in the future All you did was award him a caution on the third occasion that he **assaulted** me (when he hit me directly in the eye, knocking my contact lens down the side of my eye from where it was removed in hospital). And when I attempted to take bona-fide steps to take civil action to stop the stalking, you pronounced this was against the law and prosecuted me

You said I should contact my lawyer

You think I have a lawyer, do you?

Your subsequent letter stated that I had sent a letter to the Council containing derogatory remarks

This letter ever existed. It was not mentioned at the time of my arrest – and if it had been, then it is likely I would not have pleaded guilty. There is still the same danger that my stalker could find out my new address, but if justice reigns the matter would have been dropped. This letter never existed and all the police have done is take the other party's statements on faith. Latter statements from the same party included an assertion that the BBC was hacking his phone and people were following him around in white vans .

He stalked me every day over a period measured in years (except Xmas Days and Boxing Day). Apart from the door slamming, he always followed me in when I entered the kitchen. I endured endless abuse, but possibly worst of all in its way : once he learnt I had problems with my breathing, he commonly filled the air with smoky and annoying substances. I often wonder what would have happened if he had managed to kill me in this manner.

And in addition, he managed to assault me three times. On the third occasion, I genuinely thought for a few seconds that I had lost my eye.

So, in conclusion, I still don't have the information I am requesting In a democracy I would be given this information automatically.

And the information I gave you about the other party's support for terrorism – did you pass it on to the appropriate authorities, or did you just ignore me?